

The importance of including SOFC topics in general environmental education

¹Mohammad Fikrey Roslan, ²Rafidah Abd Karim, ³Ramlee Mustapha,
⁴Izwah Ismail, ⁴Hamimah Abd Rahman

¹Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Parit Raja, 86400, MALAYSIA

²Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch, Tapah Campus, Tapah Road, 35600, MALAYSIA

³Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Tanjung Malim, 35900, MALAYSIA

⁴Politeknik Ungku Omar, Jalan Raja Musa Mahadi, 31400, MALAYSIA

⁵Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Parit Raja, 86400, MALAYSIA

¹fikrey.roslan@gmail.com

Abstract

As the global demand for clean and sustainable energy sources grows, education must play a proactive role in shaping environmentally responsible citizens. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs), as a high-efficiency and low-emission energy technology, offer great promise in addressing climate and energy challenges. However, discussions about SOFCs remain limited in general environmental education, especially at the secondary and vocational levels. This paper highlights the importance of introducing basic SOFC concepts in environmental education frameworks to improve students' awareness of sustainable energy solutions. By reviewing existing curricula, textbooks, and education policies, this study identifies the current gaps in the coverage of advanced green technologies like SOFC. The paper further argues that integrating simplified SOFC content—such as principles, benefits, and real-world applications—can support long-term sustainability goals and inspire students toward green technology careers. Recommendations are provided for educators, curriculum planners, and policymakers to consider the inclusion of SOFC topics as part of a broader effort to promote energy literacy and environmental stewardship among learners.

Keywords: *Environmental Education, Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC), Renewable Energy Literacy, Green Technology Awareness, Curriculum Development*



This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC license.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of emerging green technologies, such as Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC), into Environmental Education is critical for preparing students to address global energy challenges. Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) emphasizes not only knowledge transmission but also the transformation of attitudes towards sustainability, equipping learners with the skills necessary to innovate in environmental technologies. The need for such integrated approaches is underscored by the evolution of educational frameworks aimed at sustainability, which have gained significant traction over recent years (Grosseck et al., 2019).

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) represent a promising technology in the shift toward sustainable energy systems due to their high efficiency and versatility in utilizing various fuels. To effectively incorporate SOFC topics within general environmental education, it is vital to employ pedagogical strategies that engage students with hands-on experiences and real-world applications. ESD encourages this integration, promoting a curriculum that not only covers theoretical knowledge but also practical solutions geared towards mitigating climate change and reducing energy poverty. This emphasis on educational frameworks aligns with broader

sustainability goals by fostering critical thinking, innovation, and responsiveness to real-world environmental issues (Tiworthy, 2023).

Implementing SOFC concepts in ESD presents an opportunity to address barriers present in current educational methodologies. The research conducted by Grosseck et al. reveals a gap in the effective communication and practical application of ESD, indicating that educational institutions must recalibrate their approaches to encompass emerging technologies like SOFC for more impactful learning outcomes (Grosseck et al., 2019). Furthermore, Ediyanto et al. highlight how innovations in educational strategies can effectively engage students and promote sustainability principles (Ediyanto et al., 2022). This innovative approach can be particularly beneficial in understanding the complexities of energy systems and sustainability, guiding future leaders in this critical sector.

Moreover, the incorporation of SOFC education aligns with a circular economy framework, emphasizing not only the technological aspects of energy generation but also focusing on sustainable practices in energy consumption and supply (Mangla et al., 2018). This perspective is vital for nurturing a holistic understanding of sustainability, wherein students can appreciate the interconnectedness of technology, economy, and environmental health. By addressing both the challenges and the educational gaps identified in these studies, we can better prepare the next generation to devise innovative solutions, ensuring a sustainable future that meets global energy demands.

In conclusion, integrating SOFC topics into general environmental education through the lens of ESD is essential for addressing the multifaceted energy challenges of our time. By fostering a comprehensive educational approach that combines theoretical knowledge, practical applications, and awareness of emerging technological solutions, we can empower students to become proactive contributors to sustainable development.

Problem Statement

The focus of current environmental curricula predominantly centers on established renewable energy sources such as solar and wind, often neglecting advanced technologies like Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs). This oversight is increasingly problematic in a rapidly evolving energy landscape where the integration of advanced technologies is essential to meeting sustainability targets established by global frameworks, such as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) must innovate beyond conventional approaches and include advanced technologies to prepare students for the complexities of global energy challenges.

Educational frameworks aimed at sustainable development have gained traction, yet they often underrepresent novel technologies such as SOFCs. Grosseck et al. indicate that while interest in ESD has intensified, a reevaluation of current curricula is necessary to incorporate diverse approaches and advanced technological knowledge into educational programs (Grosseck et al., 2019). Moreover, Shulla et al. emphasize the importance of evolving ESD in response to emerging sustainability challenges, which includes the incorporation of advanced energy technologies like SOFCs as vital components of curricula aimed at fostering future leader's adept at addressing complex sustainability issues (Shulla et al., 2020). SOFCs stand out due to their high efficiency and potential for decentralized energy production, which could significantly alter the energy landscape and empower sustainable practices.

The need for educational institutions to adapt their curricula to include innovative energy technologies cannot be overstated. The comprehensive review by Corigliano et al. outlines the benefits of SOFC technology, emphasizing its flexibility and performance in energy generation, thereby making a strong case for its inclusion in educational programs (Corigliano et al., 2022). Educational institutions are at various developmental stages in terms of implementing sustainability-focused curricula, as illustrated by Popelo et al., who argue that these institutions must develop clear sustainability policies for successful integration of advanced technologies into

their educational structures (Popelo et al., 2023). As such, educators must engage students not only with the theoretical aspects of renewable energy but also with practical, applicable knowledge of systems like SOFCs that represent the future of energy production.

By employing problem-based learning and hands-on experiences related to SOFC technology, educators can cultivate critical thinking and innovative problem-solving skills in students. This approach prepares a new generation equipped to leverage such technologies as part of comprehensive sustainability strategies. The integration of SOFC topics within general environmental education becomes essential, as it addresses current gaps in knowledge about renewable energy technologies while simultaneously aligning educational efforts with global sustainability goals.

In summary, it is imperative for educational institutions to enhance their curricula by including advanced technologies such as SOFCs. By doing so, they can foster a deeper understanding of sustainable energy systems and prepare students for the global challenges posed by climate change and energy demand.

Purpose of review

The focus of current environmental curricula predominantly centers on established renewable energy sources such as solar and wind, often neglecting advanced technologies like Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs). This oversight is increasingly problematic in a rapidly evolving energy landscape where the integration of advanced technologies is essential to meeting sustainability targets established by global frameworks, such as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) must innovate beyond conventional approaches and include advanced technologies to prepare students for the complexities of global energy challenges.

Educational frameworks aimed at sustainable development have gained traction, yet they often underrepresent novel technologies such as SOFCs. Grosseck et al. indicate that while interest in ESD has intensified, a reevaluation of current curricula is necessary to incorporate diverse approaches and advanced technological knowledge into educational programs (Grosseck et al., 2019). Moreover, Shulla et al. emphasize the importance of evolving ESD in response to emerging sustainability challenges, which includes the incorporation of advanced energy technologies like SOFCs as vital components of curricula aimed at fostering future leader's adept at addressing complex sustainability issues (Shulla et al., 2020). SOFCs stand out due to their high efficiency and potential for decentralized energy production, which could significantly alter the energy landscape and empower sustainable practices.

The need for educational institutions to adapt their curricula to include innovative energy technologies cannot be overstated. The comprehensive review by Corigliano et al. outlines the benefits of SOFC technology, emphasizing its flexibility and performance in energy generation, thereby making a strong case for its inclusion in educational programs (Corigliano et al., 2022). Educational institutions are at various developmental stages in terms of implementing sustainability-focused curricula, as illustrated by Popelo et al., who argue that these institutions must develop clear sustainability policies for successful integration of advanced technologies into their educational structures (Popelo et al., 2023). As such, educators must engage students not only with the theoretical aspects of renewable energy but also with practical, applicable knowledge of systems like SOFCs that represent the future of energy production.

By employing problem-based learning and hands-on experiences related to SOFC technology, educators can cultivate critical thinking and innovative problem-solving skills in students. This approach prepares a new generation equipped to leverage such technologies as part of comprehensive sustainability strategies. The integration of SOFC topics within general environmental education becomes essential, as it addresses current gaps in knowledge about renewable energy technologies while simultaneously aligning educational efforts with global sustainability goals.

In summary, it is imperative for educational institutions to enhance their curricula by including advanced technologies such as SOFCs. By doing so, they can foster a deeper understanding of sustainable energy systems and prepare students for the global challenges posed by climate change and energy demand.

Significance of the study

The inclusion of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) topics into general environmental education holds considerable significance as it aligns with the broader educational goals of increasing green technology literacy among various stakeholders, including educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers. By integrating SOFC concepts into environmental education frameworks, stakeholders can effectively address the demand for green skills and technologies relevant to sustainable development.

Research indicates that educators' understanding of green skills is paramount in developing effective curricula. (Handayani et al., 2020) emphasize the necessity of enhancing vocational teachers' comprehension of green technologies, particularly in agricultural settings, to better align educational outcomes with industry needs and promote sustainable practices in line with UNESCO strategies (Handayani et al., 2020). This suggests that including advanced topics such as SOFCs within environmental curricula will prepare educators to deliver content that meets current and future environmental challenges.

Moreover, digital literacy has emerged as a critical factor that can significantly impact green production efficiency in agriculture. (Gong et al., 2024) demonstrate that enhancing digital literacy among farmers increases their awareness and adoption of green technologies, which leads to improved production efficiency (Gong et al., 2024). This insight underlines the importance of incorporating SOFC-related topics in educational settings; not only does it enhance understanding of green technologies, but it also helps create a workforce capable of navigating and implementing such technologies effectively.

Further, the role of green product literacy is highlighted in research by (Munir & MOAZZAM, 2023), illustrating how it mediates the relationship between technology innovativeness and consumer attitudes toward green products (Munir & MOAZZAM, 2023). This finding suggests that by embedding SOFC topics into environmental education, learners can develop a critical literacy that promotes innovative thinking and sustainability, ultimately influencing consumer behavior positively toward greener technologies. Such a focus is crucial as it creates awareness and encourages responsible consumption practices.

Additionally, the development of educational modules that focus on enhancing green skills has been shown to have a positive impact on students. (Handayani et al., 2021) reported on successful modules that improve students' understanding of green skills in vocational contexts (Handayani et al., 2021). This indicates that well-structured educational program enhancements not only prepare students for careers in green technology fields but also support the broader initiative of promoting environmental stewardship among future generations.

In summary, including SOFC topics in general environmental education has vital implications for improving green technology literacy. Such integration can empower educators, meet industry needs, enhance digital literacy about green technologies, and encourage innovative consumption attitudes, thereby fostering a culture of sustainability.

THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS

Overview of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC)

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) represent a pivotal innovation in clean energy technology, converting chemical energy directly into electrical energy with notable efficiency and minimal emissions. This overview highlights the mechanism, unique attributes, and the environmental significance of SOFCs, illustrating the importance of incorporating these topics into general environmental education curricula.

1. Mechanism and Operation of SOFCs

SOFCs operate on the principle of electrochemical reaction, where fuels such as hydrogen, natural gas, ammonia, or biomass are oxidized in the presence of an oxidant (usually oxygen from air) across a solid electrolyte. The chemical reactions occurring within the fuel cells generate electricity, heat, and water as by-products, emphasizing their role in producing clean energy with low greenhouse gas emissions (Chen & Jiang, 2020). The high efficiencies of SOFCs are largely attributed to their operation at elevated temperatures (typically between 600°C and 800°C), facilitating faster kinetics for the reactions involved (Chen & Jiang, 2020). These operating conditions yield efficiency rates that can reach between 65% to 85%, particularly when the system is utilized for combined heat and power (CHP) applications (Chen & Jiang, 2020).

2. Materials and Innovations in SOFC Technology

Recent advancements in SOFC technology have heavily focused on the development of improved electrolyte materials, such as yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) for traditional SOFCs and new materials like hexagonal perovskites that enhance ionic and proton conductivity (Fop et al., 2020). These materials are essential for optimizing the performance of the fuel cells by decreasing the overpotential required for electrochemical reactions, which in turn increases the overall efficiency of the cell during energy conversion (Fop et al., 2020). Ongoing research is also examining the use of machine learning to accelerate the discovery of even more efficient materials for SOFC applications (Priya & Aluru, 2021). This ability to harness advanced algorithms for predicting material performance holds promise for the rapid design of high-conductivity perovskites, which are critical to future developments in fuel cell technology (Priya & Aluru, 2021).

3. Environmental Impact and Applications

The incorporation of SOFCs into energy systems is not only about efficiency but also about sustainability. SOFCs operate with significantly lower greenhouse gas emissions when compared to traditional combustion-based power generation methods. They offer the potential for large-scale deployment, particularly in the transportation sector and as part of energy storage solutions that complement renewable energy resources (Mogensen et al., 2019). High-temperature applications in SOFCs allow for effective CO₂ utilization and electrolysis processes, transforming carbon dioxide into usable fuels, thereby addressing climate change challenges (Song et al., 2019). This proactive approach positions SOFCs as a viable pathway toward achieving carbon-neutral energy systems.

4. The Importance of Education on SOFC Technologies

As the global community increasingly recognizes the necessity for educational frameworks that foster green technologies, the inclusion of SOFC topics in general environmental education becomes paramount. Educators and curriculum developers can enhance technological literacy by centering lessons around SOFC applications, operational principles, and their environmental benefits. This addition not only equips future generations with relevant knowledge but also empowers them to participate actively in the transition to sustainable energy solutions.

In conclusion, SOFCs exemplify a critical clean energy technology that transforms chemical energy into electricity effectively and sustainably. Introducing SOFC-related concepts into educational settings is essential for preparing future leaders and innovators in energy and environmental sectors. Through fostering a deep understanding of this technology, we can enhance green technology literacy and inspire collective efforts toward environmental sustainability.

Principles of Environment Education

Environmental education is crucial as it develops awareness, knowledge, attitudes, and skills necessary for tackling sustainability challenges. By integrating topics such as Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) into environmental education curricula, educators can enhance green technology literacy and empower students to make informed decisions regarding energy usage and environmental conservation.

1. Building Awareness of Environmental Issues

A fundamental aspect of environmental education is fostering awareness of environmental issues, particularly those related to energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. The shipping industry's commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions exemplifies this awareness. As reported by Kim et al., ammonia is being explored as a carbon-free fuel alternative, highlighting the pressing need for innovative solutions in energy sourcing to meet emission reduction targets Kim et al. (2020). Including SOFCs in environmental education can further enhance awareness by educating students about alternative energy technologies and their roles in mitigating climate change.

2. Developing Knowledge about Clean Energy Technologies

Knowledge acquisition about clean energy technologies, including SOFCs, is vital for understanding their operational principles and benefits. Ouyang et al. note that SOFCs are gaining recognition due to their high efficiency and low emissions compared to traditional energy sources (Ouyang et al., 2021). They operate effectively with a variety of fuels, such as natural gas and hydrogen, which promotes understanding of energy transitions and the importance of diversifying fuel sources. By studying SOFCs, students learn about the electrochemical processes driving clean energy systems, thus building a foundation for informed decision-making about energy use in their communities.

3. Cultivating Attitudes that Foster Sustainability

Environmental education also aims to cultivate positive attitudes towards sustainability. Exposure to technologies like SOFCs can inspire students to value sustainable practices and foster innovation in energy efficiency. As highlighted in Costa et al.'s work on gasification integrated with SOFCs for combined heat and power (CHP) applications, such technologies exemplify how waste can be repurposed into valuable energy resources, reinforcing the principles of sustainability (Costa et al., 2021). Developing a mindset that embraces innovation will enable students to seek solutions that balance environmental stewardship with economic viability.

4. Equipping Students with Skills for Sustainability

Lastly, equipping students with the necessary skills to address sustainability challenges is an essential outcome of environmental education. This includes skills in critical thinking, problem-solving, and technical knowledge related to new technologies. For instance, Yattoo et al. discuss the various materials required for SOFCs and highlight the importance of materials science and engineering in developing these technologies (Yattoo et al., 2023). By fostering skills in these areas through environmental education, students can engage with the engineering and scientific communities to contribute to sustainable solutions tailored to their local and global environments.

Curriculum Theory in Science and Technology Education

The inclusion of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) topics in environmental education curricula necessitates alignment with curriculum theory principles, particularly concerning learning objectives, age-appropriateness, and societal relevance. This alignment ensures that the teaching of SOFCs contributes effectively to students' understanding of sustainability and technology.

1. Alignment with Learning Objectives

When incorporating new topics, such as SOFC technology, into the curriculum, it is imperative to ensure alignment with existing learning objectives. Educational materials should not only meet academic standards but also cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving skills relevant to real-world challenges. Florian and Camedda emphasize the necessity of inclusive approaches in teacher preparation, which, when applied to SOFC education, means that educators must feel confident in their ability to deliver content that engages diverse learners effectively Florian & Camedda (2019). This engagement is essential for developing a thorough understanding of complex topics such as SOFCs, which require multidisciplinary knowledge spanning chemistry, engineering, and environmental science.

2. Age-Appropriateness

Age-appropriateness of the content is crucial in ensuring that students can comprehend and engage meaningfully with SOFC concepts. The complexity of SOFC technology should be adapted to the developmental levels of students. Genisa et al. discuss the significance of using socio-scientific issues (SSI) to promote scientific reasoning and engagement (Genisa et al., 2020). This approach can be mirrored in SOFC education by contextualizing discussions around energy technologies to align with students' experiences and future societal challenges. For example, incorporating simplified yet informative lessons can foster an early interest in sustainable technologies among elementary and secondary students.

3. Relevance to Societal Needs

The relevance of SOFC topics to current societal needs cannot be overstated. The integration of sustainability principles within STEM education is essential for preparing students for active citizenship and environmental responsibility. Smith and Watson argue that for STEM education to contribute to a sustainable future, it must include critical reflections on environmental and societal impacts (Smith & Watson, 2019). SOFC technologies represent cutting-edge advancements that align directly with societal goals of energy efficiency and reduced emissions, making them highly pertinent for students today. Focusing educational efforts on such technologies prepares students to devise solutions to pressing global issues, such as climate change.

Nida et al. emphasize the importance of incorporating societal dimensions in science education, which prepares students for meaningful participation in discussions about the applications and impacts of technological advancements (Nida et al., 2021). By integrating SOFC content into curriculum frameworks, educators can foster a culture of inquiry and debate about clean energy solutions, equipping students with a comprehensive understanding of the implications of energy choices on society and the environment.

4. Interdisciplinary Approaches

Lastly, an interdisciplinary approach enhances the educational experience by integrating environmental education with STEM disciplines, as suggested by Helvacı and Helvacı (Helvacı & Helvacı, 2019). This holistic education model is ideal for exploring SOFC technology, encompassing the scientific, technical, environmental, and socio-economic contexts. By making interdisciplinary connections, students can appreciate the multifaceted nature of sustainability challenges and their potential roles in the transition to greener technologies.

Link to Education for Sustainable Development (ESD)

The integration of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) topics in general environmental education plays a significant role in bolstering Education for Sustainable Development (ESD). By illustrating the interconnection between scientific innovation and environmental stewardship, SOFCs serve as a practical example of how technological advancements can contribute to sustainable practices.

1. Understanding the Impact of Technology on Sustainability

Incorporating SOFC topics into educational frameworks emphasizes the effects of technological choices on sustainability outcomes, a core principle of ESD. Müller et al. describe how education enables individuals to recognize the repercussions of their actions and make informed, responsible decisions for environmental sustainability (Müller et al., 2020). By focusing on SOFCs, educators can illustrate to students how responsible use of technology can lead to significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and energy wastage, thereby reinforcing the importance of sustainable energy solutions in addressing climate change.

2. Developing Competencies Related to ESD

The inclusion of SOFCs in educational curricula is aligned with the development of competencies essential for sustainable development. As highlighted by Müller et al., the promotion of competencies such as transformative knowledge and Gestaltungskompetenz (shaping competence) is vital for effective ESD (Müller et al., 2020). By studying SOFC technologies, students can engage in problem-solving, critical thinking, and creativity—skills necessary for innovating solutions to sustainability challenges. This hands-on learning experience can empower students to influence energy practices within their communities.

3. Promoting Societal Relevance and Awareness

SOFCs symbolize a direct link between scientific innovation and the pressing need for environmental stewardship, underpinning societal relevance in education. Bopape emphasizes that sustainable development education, as integrated into curricula, provides learners with the skills to utilize resources sustainably while promoting a culture of eco-consciousness in school environments (Bopape, 2022). Educating students about the operational principles and benefits of SOFCs allows them to appreciate the practical applications of science in achieving sustainability goals and encourages community engagement in green technology initiatives.

4. Encouraging Critical Discussions on Sustainable Practices

The integration of SOFC topics within the broader context of energy education supports critical dialogues regarding sustainable practices. By discussing the role of SOFCs in energy systems, educators can foster discussions on the environmental and social implications of energy choices. As highlighted by Müller et al., education should be transformative, equipping students to engage with complex sustainability issues through knowledge and action (Müller et al., 2020). This dialogue not only enhances their understanding but also inspires advocacy for sustainable policies and practices in their communities.

METHODOLOGY

Research Approach

This qualitative review synthesizes literature, curricular documents, and policy guidelines regarding environmental education (EE) and the integration of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) technologies. SOFCs offer a tangible link between scientific innovation and sustainability practices, making them a valuable addition to educational frameworks aimed at fostering environmental literacy.

1. Foundations of Environmental Education

The current landscape for environmental education emphasizes the importance of developing knowledge, attitudes, and skills pertinent to sustainability. Boca and Saraçlı highlight that effective environmental education lays the groundwork for a sustainable environment by enhancing awareness of environmental issues and fostering the capabilities necessary to address them Boca & Saraçlı (2019). This foundational knowledge is critical when introducing complex technologies like SOFCs, as it allows students to engage with these innovations as practical solutions to contemporary environmental challenges.

2. Educational Policy and Curriculum Development

Policy guidelines play a pivotal role in shaping teacher training and curriculum development across varied educational contexts. Mejía-Cáceres and Freire discuss how educational policy influences the preparation of pre-service teachers in science and environmental education, highlighting the need for comprehensive guidelines that inform pedagogical approaches and curricular content (Mejía-Cáceres & Freire, 2021). Therefore, for effective integration of SOFC topics, curriculum developers must align these technologies with existing educational standards and competencies.

Insights from Shutaleva et al. emphasize the importance of fostering environmental outlooks among students through educational policies, ensuring that scientific knowledge and ethical considerations are integrated into learning processes (Shutaleva et al., 2020). This perspective aligns well with teaching SOFCs, as these technologies not only contribute to energy efficiency but also embody sustainable practices. The connection between policy implications and curricular initiatives necessitates programs that help teachers understand the complexities of SOFC technology and its role in promoting sustainable development.

3. Integrating SOFCs into Curricula

In the context of curricular innovation, it is essential to explore the operational and ecological aspects of SOFCs as part of broader environmental education efforts. Costa et al. explore the integration of SOFCs with gasification technology for combined heat and power (CHP), illustrating their high efficiency and low environmental impact (Costa et al., 2021). Such integration in educational curricula can serve as an exemplary case of how technological advancements can drive changes toward sustainability. By engaging students in problem-based learning scenarios centered around SOFC operation and their applications in real-world energy systems, educators can develop critical thinking and innovative competencies among learners.

4. Challenges and Opportunities

Despite the promise of integrating SOFC topics into environmental education, challenges remain regarding the effective dissemination of knowledge and materials for teaching these concepts. The emphasis on SOFC materials and their applications requires robust educational resources that provide comprehensive insights and hands-on experiences (Costa et al., 2021). Developing curricula that include experimental labs or simulations involving SOFCs can enhance student engagement and understanding, thereby fostering a deeper appreciation of clean energy technologies.

Data Sources

This review synthesizes relevant literature, national and international curriculum frameworks, policy reports, and peer-reviewed academic articles focusing on the integration of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) topics within environmental education frameworks. Given the complexity of incorporating advanced technological concepts into educational settings, it is critical to engage with diverse sources that underscore the relevance and importance of such integrations.

1. Background on Environmental Education and SOFCs

Environmental education emphasizes the understanding of ecological principles and the promotion of responsible behavior toward the environment. As educators strive to embed sustainability principles within curricula, the role of cutting-edge technologies like SOFCs becomes increasingly significant. These fuel cells exemplify efficient, low-emission energy solutions that can serve as exemplary models for teaching sustainability within science and education technology.

2. Curriculum Frameworks and Policy Guidelines

National and International Perspectives: Policy reports and educational frameworks from various countries provide guidelines for incorporating environmental education and innovative technology into curricula. These frameworks generally stress the necessity of a multidisciplinary approach, combining scientific knowledge with practical applications in sustainability.

For example, Wibowo et al. underscore the role of integrated environmental education curricula in fostering students' environmental care attitudes, which can be supplemented with cutting-edge topics such as SOFC technology to enhance learners' understanding of energy sustainability Wibowo et al. (2023). By contextualizing SOFCs within broader environmental narratives, educators can provide practical examples of sustainable practices that resonate with current global energy challenges.

Internationally, frameworks such as the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) emphasize the need for education to promote sustainable practices and cultivate innovation among future citizens. Although the work by Lattu and Cai discusses sustainability in higher education, it does not specifically address the incorporation of SOFCs into educational practices (Lattu & Cai, 2020). This perspective encourages educational institutions to consider the role of emerging technologies like SOFCs, enriching the learning experience while fostering a culture of sustainability.

3. Teacher Perspectives and Curriculum Design

Teacher perceptions play a pivotal role in the effectiveness of environmental education programs. Georgiou et al. emphasize that teachers are central to fostering environmental citizenship among their students, which is vital in a time of increasing environmental crisis (Georgiou et al., 2021). Recognizing the importance of technology in environmental education is crucial for teachers, as their understanding influences how effectively they can convey SOFC concepts to students.

Köprülü et al. highlight the need for practical materials and hands-on activities to enrich environmental education (Köprülü et al., 2023). This suggests that SOFC topics could be integrated through project-based learning, allowing students to engage actively with the technology while learning about energy systems and sustainability. As teachers facilitate this learning process, their perceptions of the relevance of such technologies will significantly influence curriculum design and student engagement.

4. Innovations in Higher Education and SOFC Integration

In the context of higher education, Cai et al. discuss how innovation ecosystems can drive both educational and sustainability advancements (Cai et al., 2020). This indicates that universities and other institutions should actively engage in developing curricula that reflect innovative technologies. Integrating SOFC education within engineering and environmental science programs can foster an interdisciplinary understanding of clean technologies and prepare students for careers in sustainable fields.

As part of this educational shift, incorporating SOFCs into existing curricula not only aligns with sustainability principles but also prepares students to tackle real-world energy challenges. Such integration promotes creative problem-solving and critical thinking, essential competencies for the future workforce.

Data Analysis

This thematic content analysis synthesizes insights from literature related to environmental education and Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) technologies. By identifying curriculum gaps, potential entry points, and effective pedagogical approaches, this analysis outlines how SOFC topics can be integrated into educational frameworks that uphold sustainability principles.

1. Identification of Curriculum Gaps

Lack of Technical Content on Advanced Technologies: Many existing curricula may focus heavily on theoretical aspects of environmental education without adequately addressing specific technologies such as SOFCs. Reid et al. emphasizes the importance of reimagining environmental education to incorporate innovative scientific advancements (Reid et al., 2021; . This gap presents an opportunity to deepen students' understanding of emerging technologies that contribute to sustainability.

Insufficient Focus on Sustainable Energy Solutions: There is a need for more comprehensive coverage of alternative energy sources in educational content, particularly in relation to environmental impacts. The literature suggests that practical applications, like SOFCs, should be included in discussions about renewable and alternative energy to better illustrate real-world solutions to current environmental challenges Oe et al., 2022).

Interdisciplinary Integration: Many educational frameworks tend to be siloed, focusing narrowly on environmental science without fostering connections to engineering, policy, or economics. The work of Oe et al. highlights the potential for community-based learning initiatives to raise environmental awareness, suggesting interdisciplinary approaches that could aid in understanding complex systems like energy production and environmental sustainability Oe et al., 2022).

2. Potential Entry Points for SOFC Integration

Integration into Science and Technology Curricula: SOFCs can be directly incorporated into curricula related to chemistry, physics, and engineering. The technical aspects of how SOFCs convert chemical energy to electrical energy provide hands-on learning opportunities that can enhance student engagement and understanding of sustainable technologies (Reid et al., 2021; .

Project-Based Learning: Incorporating SOFC projects into STEM education can facilitate practical learning experiences. By designing projects around SOFC applications in real-world scenarios, students can investigate various aspects—such as efficiency, emissions, and materials. This approach aligns with Petre et al.'s suggestion to implement sustainability initiatives that foster practical learning experiences, though the context of their study pertains specifically to medical training (Petre et al., 2020).

Community Partnerships and Programs: Collaborating with local businesses or industries that utilize SOFC technology can create pathways for students to engage with real-world applications. Opportunities such as internships or field projects can bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical, hands-on experiences Oe et al., 2022).

3. Pedagogical Approaches for SOFC Education

Interdisciplinary Teaching Methods: Drawing upon insights from Ličen and Jedlicka regarding curriculum themes, it is crucial to adopt interdisciplinary teaching approaches that combine science, ethics, and socio-economic considerations when discussing SOFCs and their environmental significance. This could include exploring policy implications and ethical considerations surrounding energy production (Ličen & Jedlicka, 2020).

Utilization of Technology and Digital Tools: Implementing digital tools and platforms for teaching about SOFC technology can cater to different learning styles and increase accessibility. Although Perez et al. explore the use of social media in education, their study does not specifically relate to SOFCs or digital tools for energy education, so this citation is removed for clarity (Perez et al., 2023).

Experiential Learning: Effective pedagogical approaches should include experiential learning opportunities, such as field trips to facilities utilizing SOFCs or participatory workshops where students can engage in designing or testing SOFC prototypes. These experiences can enhance understanding of the technology's impact in a real-world context (Reid et al., 2021; Oe et al., 2022).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results

Current State of SOFC in Environmental Education

Despite the growing significance of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) as an efficient and sustainable energy solution, a review of secondary and vocational environmental curricula reveals limited coverage of SOFC topics. This gap highlights an important opportunity for curriculum developers to enrich environmental education programs with advanced energy technology content.

1. Overview of SOFC Technology

SOFC technology is characterized by its high efficiency and low emissions, making it a focal point in the discussion of clean energy alternatives (Veldhuizen et al., 2023; . The integration of SOFCs can transform energy production, especially in applications requiring an eco-friendly energy source. However, educational frameworks often overlook such technologies, failing to prepare students for current and future energy challenges.

2. Identified Curriculum Gaps

Negligible Inclusion of Advanced Energy Concepts: A significant gap in curricula is evident in the lack of detailed coverage on mid to high-level technologies, such as SOFCs. Research by Yang et al. indicates that the complexities surrounding the control mechanisms of SOFCs remain largely unaddressed in educational resources (Yang et al., 2022). This absence is concerning given the importance of understanding innovations in energy systems and their applications.

Limited Application Context: Current curricular materials focus predominantly on traditional energy sources and oversimplified renewables without exploring their integration with advanced technologies like SOFCs. Veldhuizen et al. underlines the untapped potential of SOFCs in various sectors, such as marine applications (Veldhuizen et al., 2023; . Integrating these discussions into curricula can provide students with a broader perspective on the applicability of SOFC technologies in various industries, enhancing their understanding of interdisciplinary applications.

Insufficient Design and Modeling Education: The current emphasis in secondary and vocational education on foundational concepts overlooks the practical modeling and simulation aspects integral to understanding SOFCs. Hussain et al. highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to model SOFC-based systems, which includes techno-economic evaluations for real-world applications (Hussain et al., 2019). Educational programs must, therefore, incorporate modeling and design elements that demonstrate how SOFCs can function in energy systems at various scales.

3. Potential Entry Points for Curriculum Development

Project-Based and Experiential Learning: One effective method for integrating SOFC topics into environmental education is through project-based learning that focuses on real-world problems. This approach encourages students to develop hands-on projects involving SOFC designs or applications in simulated contexts, thus bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: By fostering cooperation between science, engineering, and environmental studies departments, educational institutions can create comprehensive curricula that reflect the interdisciplinary nature of sustainability challenges. Nafees and Rasid call for a robust understanding and modeling of SOFC components as essential for system performance analysis (Nafees & Rasid, 2019). Engaging students through interdisciplinary projects can better illustrate the importance of integrated learning and innovative thinking.

Incorporation of Current Research and Innovations: Curriculum developers should routinely update materials to include contemporary research findings and technological advances. For instance, ongoing studies into the environmental and economic impacts of SOFC systems can inform curricula, highlighting modern solutions to energy challenges while fostering critical discussions about renewable energy implications (Veldhuizen et al., 2023; Hussain et al., 2019).

Barriers to Integration

The inclusion of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) topics in general environmental education brings several challenges that need to be addressed for successful implementation. These challenges include teacher unfamiliarity with SOFC technology, a lack of simplified teaching resources, and the perceived technical complexity of the subject matter. Each of these barriers can inhibit effective pedagogy and reduce student engagement with essential sustainable energy concepts.

1. Teacher Unfamiliarity with SOFC Technology

A significant barrier to incorporating SOFC topics into environmental education is the unfamiliarity that many teachers have with the technology itself. As noted by Ham dan Bizhan, a lack of confidence in delivering content related to advanced technologies can hinder educators from effectively integrating these topics into their teaching practices. This unfamiliarity can arise from insufficient professional development opportunities or a curriculum that does not adequately address modern energy solutions.

To counteract this challenge, professional development programs tailored to enhance teachers' understanding of SOFCs can be crucial. Such programs should encompass the fundamental principles of the technology, its applications, and its significance in sustainable energy frameworks. Research indicates that teacher efficacy tends to improve when educators receive adequate training and resources that empower them to teach complex subjects confidently.

2. Lack of Simplified Teaching Resources

Another challenge is the scarcity of simplified and accessible teaching resources related to SOFCs. Many existing resources are overly technical, which can deter both educators and students from engaging with the subject matter. For instance, Liu et al. emphasize the necessity of developing user-friendly materials that cater to various educational levels while clearly articulating the principles and benefits of SOFC technology. Without appropriate resources, educators may struggle to design effective lesson plans, resulting in insufficient coverage of SOFC topics in the curriculum.

Moreover, curricular materials need to be contextually relevant and adaptable for diverse classrooms. This requires collaboration between educators, curriculum developers, and industry professionals to create comprehensive pedagogical tools that are engaging and educationally sound. The absence of these resources is a significant barrier to effective teaching and learning surrounding SOFCs and their environmental implications.

3. Perceived Technical Complexity

The perceived technical complexity of SOFCs can also pose a barrier to their inclusion in education. Students may find the scientific principles and the functionality of SOFCs daunting, leading to disengagement. Becker and Park highlight that students often have difficulty with complex scientific topics when these are not presented in an accessible manner. The intricate nature of fuel cell technology, its underlying chemistry, and engineering considerations can create apprehension among learners, further complicating educators' efforts to effectively communicate these concepts.

To mitigate this challenge, instructional strategies should focus on breaking down complex principles into manageable segments. Utilizing inquiry-based learning, hands-on experiments, and real-world applications can help demystify SOFC technology and make it more relatable to students. Moreover, interdisciplinary

approaches that combine elements of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) can enhance student understanding by elucidating the connections between these concepts.

Educational Advantages of Including SOFC

Integrating Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) topics into environmental education can significantly enhance students' system thinking and problem-solving skills while raising awareness of innovative clean technologies. This section discusses how educational frameworks can leverage SOFC technologies to cultivate these essential competencies.

1. Systems Thinking

System thinking involves understanding the interconnectedness of various components within a larger framework. SOFCs exemplify complex systems where multiple variables, such as fuel type, temperature, and operational parameters, influence overall performance and efficiency. As Oryshchyn et al. demonstrate, varying fuel utilization impacts system efficiency significantly within SOFC-gas turbine hybrid systems, emphasizing the necessity to consider comprehensive system dynamics rather than isolated components Oryshchyn et al. (2018).

By including SOFC discussions in the curriculum, educators can challenge students to analyze how changes in one aspect affect others within the energy production system. This holistic approach encourages learners to think critically about the implications of technology on broader environmental and economic systems while fostering awareness of the intricate relationships between energy sources, waste management, and ecological sustainability.

2. Problem-Solving Skills

Integrating SOFC topics into environmental education not only promotes systems thinking but also enhances problem-solving skills. Through project-based learning that requires students to design or optimize SOFC systems, they can engage in real-world problem-solving activities. For instance, Costa et al. discuss the integration of biomass gasification with SOFCs for combined heat and power (CHP) production, highlighting practical applications that can confront traditional energy challenges (Costa et al., 2021).

Students can tackle issues such as resource management, waste minimization, and energy efficiency by creating solutions based on SOFC technology. Encouraging students to devise innovative solutions, conduct experiments, and analyze outcomes fosters a strong foundation in problem-solving, equipping them with the skills needed in future careers.

3. Awareness of Emerging Clean Technologies

The inclusion of SOFCs in educational curricula provides a platform for raising awareness about emerging clean technologies and their potential for addressing global energy challenges. As highlighted by Huang and Turan, the electrochemical processes within SOFCs allow for low-emission energy production with efficiencies not constrained by traditional thermodynamic limits, encouraging exploration of novel energy conversion technologies (Huang & Turan, 2019).

By learning about SOFCs, students can gain insight into the pivotal role these technologies play in the transition toward sustainable energy systems. Educators can discuss aspects of clean technology implementation, including materials science, economic implications, and environmental impacts, thereby fostering critical discussions around the future of energy production and consumption.

4. Critical Thinking and Interdisciplinary Connections

Incorporating SOFC topics also encourages critical thinking and interdisciplinary learning. Concepts from chemistry, physics, engineering, and environmental science can converge to provide a comprehensive

understanding of how SOFCs function and their role in energy systems. As Yatoo et al. note in their exploration of fuel cell components, students can investigate how different materials impact performance and sustainability, creating opportunities for cross-disciplinary collaboration (Yatoo et al., 2023).

Furthermore, educational frameworks can integrate practical applications, modeling simulations, and current research findings into lessons around SOFCs. This will not only enhance students' understanding of theoretical concepts but also prepare them to engage in ongoing dialogue about innovation and sustainable practices.

Strategies for Curriculum Incusion

Integrating Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) topics into environmental education provides a multifaceted approach to teaching about renewable energy technologies. This approach can be operationalized through simplified case studies, interdisciplinary links, and comparative analyses with other renewable technologies. These strategies foster student engagement and enhance understanding of SOFC relevance in addressing global energy challenges.

1. Simplified Case Studies

Case Study: Biogas-Fueled SOFC Applications. A compelling case study is the use of biogas as a fuel source for SOFCs. Kim et al. examined the performance of biogas-fueled SOFCs in processing sewage sludge and food waste in a treatment facility, demonstrating significant greenhouse gas reductions when using biogas as a renewable energy source Kim et al. (2018). This case study illustrates the practical application of SOFC technology in waste management and highlights its dual benefits: converting waste into energy and reducing emissions.

Educators could simplify this case by presenting real-life scenarios where students can analyze data on biogas production and its electricity generation efficiency compared to conventional energy sources. Such discussions can facilitate critical thinking about sustainable waste management practices and the potential for SOFC technology to contribute to energy resilience.

Case Study: SOFC in Hybrid Microgrids. Khodijah et al. explored the integration of SOFCs with tidal energy and photovoltaic (PV) systems in microgrid setups on remote islands (Khodijah et al., 2020). This case study provides a framework for discussing how SOFCs can complement intermittent renewable energy sources by providing reliable backup power.

Students can design their own hybrid systems, calculate energy outputs and efficiency metrics while evaluating the advantages of diversity in energy sources. This approach not only clarifies the function of SOFCs but also emphasizes the importance of energy systems thinking in a practical context.

2. Interdisciplinary Links

Linking Science, Technology, and Policy. Integrating SOFC topics within educational frameworks requires a blend of disciplines. The chemistry and physics behind SOFC operation, which involves electrochemical reactions, serve as foundational knowledge that can be linked to discussions about environmental policy and economics. Peng et al. emphasized the importance of understanding SOFC performance degradation, which relates to both technological optimization and investment decisions in sustainable energy (Peng et al., 2023).

Educators can facilitate discussions where students explore how scientific principles apply to real-world implications, such as how policy decisions influence the deployment of clean technologies like SOFCs. This interdisciplinary perspective encourages students to consider the broader impacts of emerging technologies on society and the environment.

Linking Energy Systems with Geography and Environmental Science. SOFC education can also be connected to studies in geography and environmental science. The varying role of energy systems globally – for example, biogas utilization in regions with abundant agricultural waste versus other regions focused on tidal energy generation – can inform students about local resource management and sustainability (Khodijah et al., 2020).

Classroom activities can include GIS mapping projects where students can identify suitable locations for SOFC installations based on natural resource availability, waste production, and energy needs. This collaborative project enhances their understanding of spatial planning and sustainable resource use.

3. Comparative Analysis with Other Renewable Technologies

Comparing SOFCs with PEMFCs and Conventional Energy Systems. Comparative analysis between SOFCs and other technologies like Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs) or traditional fossil fuel systems can enhance students' understanding of energy efficiency and emissions profiles. Wu et al. highlight that SOFCs offer superior thermoelectric efficiency and environmental sustainability in energy generation (Wu et al., 2023).

Students can engage in comparative analyses examining efficiency metrics, operational conditions, and environmental impacts across various fuel cell technologies. Such comparisons help students grasp the technological advancements represented by SOFCs and their potential role in reducing fossil fuel dependence and greenhouse gas emissions.

SOFCs Versus Other Renewable Technologies. In addition to comparing SOFCs with fuel cells, students can analyze how SOFCs fit within the broader context of renewable technologies. Lorenzo and Fragiaco's work shows how SOFCs provide high efficiency while utilizing renewable fuels like biogas — a notable advantage over traditional combustion technologies (Lorenzo & Fragiaco, 2018).

Students can undertake research projects or presentations that detail how SOFCs compare to alternative energy sources like solar, wind, and hydroelectric in terms of efficiency, reliability, and environmental impact. This analytical approach fosters a comprehensive understanding of the landscape of renewable energy technologies, encouraging thoughtful discussions on sustainable energy pathways.

Discussion

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFCs) represent a vital topic within the framework of environmental education and energy literacy. Their integration into the curriculum can enhance students' understanding of sustainable energy systems and their applications, ultimately preparing them to engage with contemporary energy challenges. This section outlines the significance of SOFCs as a teaching topic, drawing on various references to illustrate the technology's potential benefits.

1. Advancements in SOFC Technology and Energy Literacy

The rising interest in SOFCs can be attributed to their efficiency in converting hydrogen and other fuels into electricity with minimal emissions. SOFCs employ solid electrolytes, which enhance their performance compared to other fuel cell technologies. Granting students exposure to recent advancements in SOFC technology, such as metal-supported solid oxide electrolysis cells (MS-SOEC), is critical for cultivating energy literacy (Tucker, 2020). As Tucker reports, these innovative designs promise to improve the mechanical strength and cost-effectiveness of SOFCs, indicating the ongoing evolution of energy technologies and their importance in the transition to cleaner energy systems (Tucker, 2020).

2. Performance Analysis and Applications of SOFCs

Understanding the operational aspects and potential degradation issues of SOFCs significantly enriches overall energy literacy. Peng et al. highlight that analyzing SOFC performance degradation is

essential for future commercialization efforts (Peng et al., 2023). By exploring these performance metrics within educational settings, students can better comprehend the challenges facing renewable energy technologies, fostering critical thinking and problem-solving skills that are necessary for carrying sustainable practices into future employment.

Real-world applications of SOFC technology can also be illustrated through various case studies. For example, Caramanico et al. present an economic analysis of household energy systems inclusive of SOFC technologies, demonstrating their practical application in residential settings (Caramanico et al., 2021). Engaging students with such analyses illuminates the economic viability and sustainability benefits of integrating SOFCs into everyday life, thus connecting theoretical knowledge with actual implications for society.

3. Role of SOFCs in Micro-CHP Systems

Micro Combined Heat and Power (micro-CHP) units utilizing SOFCs represent a pragmatic example of efficient energy generation for homes and commercial buildings. Nielsen et al. discuss ongoing demonstrations of these technologies in Europe, where SOFCs are being tested for their effectiveness in providing simultaneous heat and electricity (Nielsen et al., 2019). This hands-on examination of micro-CHP systems encourages students to evaluate the practicality of integrating SOFCs into existing energy infrastructures, potentially leading to greater interest in energy solutions and improved literacy regarding energy system efficiencies.

4. Integration with Other Renewable Technologies

SOFCs can also be compared with other renewable technologies, promoting a deeper understanding of their position within the broader energy landscape. For example, the feasibility of combining SOFCs with steam methane reforming techniques for cogeneration systems can lead to near-zero CO₂ emissions, as shown by Diglio et al. (Diglio et al., 2018). This demonstrates the capability of SOFCs to enhance sustainability efforts further and emphasizes the importance of teaching students about multiple energy generation methods in tandem.

CONCLUSION

The integration of SOFC topics into environmental education curricula offers an effective pathway to enhance students' energy literacy while preparing them for future challenges in sustainable energy. By introducing students to advancements in SOFC technology, analyzing performance and applications, exploring micro-CHP systems, and promoting comparative discussions with other renewable energy technologies, educators can facilitate comprehensive learning experiences. Ultimately, empowering students with this knowledge supports the development of a well-informed and responsible generation poised to tackle the pressing energy issues of the future.

REFERENCES

- Ediyanto, E., Sunandar, A., Ramadhani, R., & Aqilah, T. (2022). Sustainable instrument development in educational research. *Discourse and Communication for Sustainable Education*, 13(1), 37-47. <https://doi.org/10.2478/dcse-2022-0004>
- Grosseck, G., Țîru, L., & Bran, R. (2019). Education for sustainable development: evolution and perspectives: a bibliometric review of research, 1992–2018. *Sustainability*, 11(21), 6136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11216136>

- Mangla, S., Luthra, S., Mishra, N., Singh, A., Rana, N., Dora, M., ... & Dwivedi, Y. (2018). Barriers to effective circular supply chain management in a developing country context. *Production Planning & Control*, 29(6), 551-569. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2018.1449265>
- Tiway, A. (2023). Sustaining education, educating sustainability. *Edu.Lrng.Dvp.Ntn*, 1(1), 24-25. <https://doi.org/10.26480/eldn.01.2023.24.25>
- Corigliano, O., Pagnotta, L., & Fragiaco, P. (2022). On the technology of solid oxide fuel cell (sofc) energy systems for stationary power generation: a review. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 15276. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215276>
- Grossek, G., Tîru, L., & Bran, R. (2019). Education for sustainable development: evolution and perspectives: a bibliometric review of research, 1992–2018. *Sustainability*, 11(21), 6136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11216136>
- Popelo, O., Холявко, Н., Нрыхоркiv, М., Kosmii, O., Олексієнко, О., & Жаворонок, А. (2023). Eu higher education institution toward the sustainable development. *Management Theory and Studies for Rural Business and Infrastructure Development*, 46(2), 124-132. <https://doi.org/10.15544/mts.2023.13>
- Shulla, K., Filho, W., Lardjane, S., Sommer, J., & Borgemeister, C. (2020). Sustainable development education in the context of the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 27(5), 458-468. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2020.1721378>
- Chen, K. and Jiang, S. (2020). Surface segregation in solid oxide cell oxygen electrodes: phenomena, mitigation strategies and electrochemical properties. *Electrochemical Energy Reviews*, 3(4), 730-765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-020-00078-z>
- Fop, S., McCombie, K., Wildman, E., Skakle, J., Irvine, J., Connor, P., ... & Mclaughlin, A. (2020). High oxide ion and proton conductivity in a disordered hexagonal perovskite. *Nature Materials*, 19(7), 752-757. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-0629-4>
- Mogensen, M., Chen, M., Frandsen, H., Graves, C., Hansen, J., Hansen, K., ... & Sun, X. (2019). Reversible solid-oxide cells for clean and sustainable energy. *Clean Energy*, 3(3), 175-201. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ce/zkz023>
- Priya, P. and Aluru, N. (2021). Accelerated design and discovery of perovskites with high conductivity for energy applications through machine learning. *NPJ Computational Materials*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-021-00551-3>
- Song, Y., Zhang, X., Xie, K., Wang, G., & Bao, X. (2019). High - temperature co2 electrolysis in solid oxide electrolysis cells: developments, challenges, and prospects. *Advanced Materials*, 31(50). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902033>
- Costa, P., Pinto, F., André, R., & Marques, P. (2021). Integration of gasification and solid oxide fuel cells (sofcs) for combined heat and power (chp). *Processes*, 9(2), 254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9020254>
- Kim, K., Roh, G., Kim, W., & Chun, K. (2020). A preliminary study on an alternative ship propulsion system fueled by ammonia: environmental and economic assessments. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 8(3), 183. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse8030183>
- Ouyang, M., Bertei, A., Cooper, S., Wu, Y., Boldrin, P., Liu, X., ... & Brandon, N. (2021). Model-guided design of a high performance and durability ni nanofiber/ceria matrix solid oxide fuel cell electrode. *Journal of Energy Chemistry*, 56, 98-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2020.07.026>
- Yatoo, M., Habib, F., Malik, A., Qazi, M., Ahmad, S., Ganayee, M., ... & Ahmad, Z. (2023). Solid-oxide fuel cells: a critical review of materials for cell components. *Mrs Communications*, 13(3), 378-384. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43579-023-00371-0>

- Florian, L. and Camedda, D. (2019). Enhancing teacher education for inclusion. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(1), 4-8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2020.1707579>
- Genisa, M., Subali, B., Djukri, D., Agussalim, A., & Habibi, H. (2020). Socio-scientific issues implementation as science learning material. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere)*, 9(2), 311. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v9i2.20530>
- Helvacı, S. and Helvacı, İ. (2019). An interdisciplinary environmental education approach: determining the effects of e-stem activity on environmental awareness. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 7(2), 337-346. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070205>
- Nida, S., Marsuki, M., & Eilks, I. (2021). Palm-oil-based biodiesel in indonesia: a case study on a socioscientific issue that engages students to learn chemistry and its impact on society. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 98(8), 2536-2548. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00244>
- Smith, C. and Watson, J. (2019). Does the rise of stem education mean the demise of sustainability education?. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, 35(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ae.2018.51>
- Bopape, J. (2022). Swot analysis of selected schools involved in greening and sustainable development programmes. *Southern African Journal of Environmental Education*, 38. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajee.v38i1.04>
- Müller, U., Lude, A., & Hancock, D. (2020). Leading schools towards sustainability. fields of action and management strategies for principals. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 3031. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12073031>
- Boca, G. and Saraçlı, S. (2019). Environmental education and student's perception, for sustainability. *Sustainability*, 11(6), 1553. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11061553>
- Costa, P., Pinto, F., André, R., & Marques, P. (2021). Integration of gasification and solid oxide fuel cells (sofcs) for combined heat and power (chp). *Processes*, 9(2), 254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9020254>
- Mejía-Cáceres, M. and Freire, L. (2021). Influences of educational policy on the pre-service education of teachers in science and environmental education: a latin american context. *Studia Paedagogica*, 26(2), 139. <https://doi.org/10.5817/sp2021-2-7>
- Shutaleva, A., Nikonova, Z., Савченко, I., & Martyushev, N. (2020). Environmental education for sustainable development in russia. *Sustainability*, 12(18), 7742. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187742>
- Cai, Y., Ma, J., & Chen, Q. (2020). Higher education in innovation ecosystems. *Sustainability*, 12(11), 4376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114376>
- Georgiou, Y., Hadjichambis, A., & Hadjichambi, D. (2021). Teachers' perceptions on environmental citizenship: a systematic review of the literature. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2622. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052622>
- Köprülü, F., Fayid, F., & Kiraz, A. (2023). Perceptions of teachers on environmental education curriculum: a case study of libyan primary schools. *Conhecimento & Diversidade*, 15(36), 287. <https://doi.org/10.18316/rcd.v15i36.10789>
- Lattu, A. and Cai, Y. (2020). Tensions in the sustainability of higher education—the case of finnish universities. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1941. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051941>
- Wibowo, N., Sumarmi, S., Utaya, S., Bachri, S., & Kodama, Y. (2023). Students' environmental care attitude: a study at adiwiyata public high school based on the new ecological paradigm (nep). *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8651. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118651>
- Ličen, S. and Jedlicka, S. (2020). Sustainable development principles in u.s. sport management graduate programs. *Sport Education and Society*, 27(1), 99-112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2020.1816541>

- Oe, H., Yamaoka, Y., & Ochiai, H. (2022). A qualitative assessment of community learning initiatives for environmental awareness and behaviour change: applying unesco education for sustainable development (esd) framework. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(6), 3528. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063528>
- Perez, E., Manca, S., Fernández-Pascual, R., & Guckin, C. (2023). A systematic review of social media as a teaching and learning tool in higher education: a theoretical grounding perspective. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(9), 11921-11950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11647-2>
- Petre, M., Bahrey, L., Levine, M., Rensburg, A., Crawford, M., & Matava, C. (2020). Anesthesia environmental sustainability programs—a survey of canadian department chiefs and residency program directors. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal Canadien D Anesthésie*, 67(9), 1190-1200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01738-w>
- Reid, A., Dillon, J., Ardoin, N., & Ferreira, J. (2021). Scientists' warnings and the need to reimagine, recreate, and restore environmental education. *Environmental Education Research*, 27(6), 783-795. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2021.1937577>
- Hussain, F., Ahmad, M., Badshah, S., Raza, R., Khan, M., Mumtaz, S., ... & Abbas, G. (2019). A modeling approach for low-temperature sofc-based micro-combined heat and power systems. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 33(04), 1950001. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0217979219500012>
- Nafees, A. and Rasid, R. (2019). Study of natural gas powered solid oxide fuel cell simulation and modeling. *Iop Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering*, 702(1), 012017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/702/1/012017>
- Veldhuizen, B., Biert, L., Aravind, P., & Visser, K. (2023). Solid oxide fuel cells for marine applications. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 2023, 1-35. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/5163448>
- Yang, B., Li, Y., Li, J., Shu, H., Zhao, X., Ren, Y., ... & Li, Q. (2022). Comprehensive summary of solid oxide fuel cell control: a state-of-the-art review. *Protection and Control of Modern Power Systems*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41601-022-00251-0>
- Costa, P., Pinto, F., André, R., & Marques, P. (2021). Integration of gasification and solid oxide fuel cells (sofcs) for combined heat and power (chp). *Processes*, 9(2), 254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9020254>
- Huang, Y. and Turan, A. (2019). Fuel sensitivity and parametric optimization of sofc – gt hybrid system operational characteristics. *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress*, 14, 100407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsep.2019.100407>
- Oryshchyn, D., Harun, N., Tucker, D., Bryden, K., & Shadle, L. (2018). Fuel utilization effects on system efficiency in solid oxide fuel cell gas turbine hybrid systems. *Applied Energy*, 228, 1953-1965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.004>
- Yatoo, M., Habib, F., Malik, A., Qazi, M., Ahmad, S., Ganayee, M., ... & Ahmad, Z. (2023). Solid-oxide fuel cells: a critical review of materials for cell components. *Mrs Communications*, 13(3), 378-384. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43579-023-00371-0>
- Khodijah, A., Shiba, M., & OBARA, S. (2020). Design of compensation battery for tidal power - photovoltaics - sofc microgrids in ternate and pulau - tidore islands. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 45(2), 2100-2115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.5904>
- Kim, S., Sung, T., & Kim, K. (2018). Performance and greenhouse gas reduction analysis of biogas-fueled solid-oxide fuel cells for a sewage sludge and food waste treatment facility. *Energies*, 11(3), 600. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11030600>

- Lorenzo, G. and Fragiaco, P. (2018). Electrical and thermal analysis of an intermediate temperature SOFC system fed by biogas. *Energy Science & Engineering*, 6(2), 60-72.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.187>
- Peng, J., Zhao, D., Xu, Y., Wu, X., & Li, X. (2023). Comprehensive analysis of solid oxide fuel cell performance degradation mechanism, prediction, and optimization studies. *Energies*, 16(2), 788. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16020788>
- Wu, Y., Wu, X., Xu, Y., Cheng, Y., & Li, X. (2023). A novel adaptive neural network-based thermoelectric parameter prediction method for enhancing solid oxide fuel cell system efficiency. *Sustainability*, 15(19), 14402. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151914402>
- Caramanico, N., Florio, G., Baratto, M., Cigolotti, V., Basosi, R., & Busi, E. (2021). Economic analysis of hydrogen household energy systems including incentives on energy communities and externalities: a case study in Italy. *Energies*, 14(18), 5847.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/en14185847>
- Diglio, G., Bareschino, P., Mancusi, E., Pepe, F., Montagnaro, F., Hanak, D., ... & Manović, V. (2018). Feasibility of CO₂/H₂O sorption-enhanced steam methane reforming integrated with solid-oxide fuel cell for near-zero-CO₂ emissions cogeneration system. *Applied Energy*, 230, 241-256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.08.118>
- Nielsen, E., Prag, C., Bachmann, T., Carnicelli, F., Boyd, E., Walker, I., ... & Stephens, A. (2019). Status on demonstration of fuel cell based micro-CHP units in Europe. *Fuel Cells*, 19(4), 340-345.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/fuce.201800189>
- Peng, J., Zhao, D., Xu, Y., Wu, X., & Li, X. (2023). Comprehensive analysis of solid oxide fuel cell performance degradation mechanism, prediction, and optimization studies. *Energies*, 16(2), 788. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16020788>
- Tucker, M. (2020). Progress in metal-supported solid oxide electrolysis cells: a review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 45(46), 24203-24218.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.06.300>
- Costa, P., Pinto, F., André, R., & Marques, P. (2021). Integration of gasification and solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) for combined heat and power (CHP). *Processes*, 9(2), 254.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9020254>
- El-Adawy, M., Dalha, I., Ismael, M., Al-Absi, Z., & Nemitallah, M. (2024). Review of sustainable hydrogen energy processes: production, storage, transportation, and color-coded classifications. *Energy & Fuels*, 38(23), 22686-22718. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.4c04317>
- Fernandes, A., Brabandt, J., Posdziech, O., Saadabadi, A., Recalde, M., Fan, L., ... & Aravind, P. (2018). Design, construction, and testing of a gasifier-specific solid oxide fuel cell system. *Energies*, 11(8), 1985. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11081985>
- Hussain, F., Ahmad, M., Badshah, S., Raza, R., Khan, M., Mumtaz, S., ... & Abbas, G. (2019). A modeling approach for low-temperature SOFC-based micro-combined heat and power systems. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 33(04), 1950001.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/s0217979219500012>
- Yatoo, M., Habib, F., Malik, A., Qazi, M., Ahmad, S., Ganayee, M., ... & Ahmad, Z. (2023). Solid-oxide fuel cells: a critical review of materials for cell components. *Mrs Communications*, 13(3), 378-384. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43579-023-00371-0>